

(5)

from those of the second set by a similarity transformation of the coordinate vectors of the vector space in which both the representations are defined. This can be represented in short

$$T_1 = S^{-1} T_2 S$$

If two representations of a group are not equivalent to each other, they are called to be inequivalent or distinct representations.

Invariant Subspaces and Reducible representations:

For every element  $A \in G$ , and every vector  $\phi \in L_n$ , then  $A\phi \in L_n$ , thus  $L_n$  is closed under the transformation of  $G$ . It means that the operation of any element of  $G$  on any vector of  $L_n$  does not take outside  $L_n$ .

A vector space  $L_m$  is said to be a subspace of  $L_n$  if every vector of  $L_m$  is also contained in  $L_n$ .  $L_m$  is called a proper subspace of  $L_n$  if the vectors of  $L_m$  do not exhaust the  $L_n$ . Thus  $L_n$  is also a subspace itself.

The vector space  $L_n$  may possess a proper subspace  $L_m$  which is also invariant under  $G$ . Then  $L_m$  is called invariant under  $G$ , and the space  $L_n$  is said to be reducible under  $G$ .

Reducibility of representation:

Let  $\{T(E), T(A), T(B) \dots\}$  be a representation of  $G$

(6)

in  $L_n$  and  $L_n$  has an invariant subspace  $L_m$  under  $G$ , then  $T(A)$  can be written as

$$T(A) = \begin{bmatrix} D^1(A) & & 0 \\ & \vdots & \\ X(A) & & D^2(A) \end{bmatrix} \quad (11)$$

where  $D^1(A)$  and  $D^2(A)$  are square matrices of order  $m$  and  $n-m$  respectively.

$X(A)$  is of order  $(n-m) \times m$

$0$  is a null matrix of order  $m \times (n-m)$ .

To show this, we use the row vector notation for the vectors

$$\phi_i = (0, 0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$$

here  $i^{\text{th}}$  column has unity and other elements are zero. The operation of  $A \in G$  on a basis vector  $\phi_\mu$  ( $1 \leq \mu \leq m$ ) is given by

$$A \phi_\mu = (0, 0, 0, \dots, 1_\mu, 0, \dots, 0) \begin{bmatrix} T_{1,1} & \dots & T_{1,m} & T_{1,m+1} & \dots & T_{1,n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ T_{m,1} & \dots & T_{m,m} & T_{m,m+1} & \dots & T_{m,n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ T_{m+1,1} & \dots & T_{m+1,m} & T_{m+1,m+1} & \dots & T_{m+1,n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ T_{n,1} & \dots & T_{n,m} & T_{n,m+1} & \dots & T_{n,n} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= (T_{\mu,1}, T_{\mu,2}, \dots, T_{\mu,m}, T_{\mu,m+1}, \dots, T_{\mu,n})$$

(7)

Since  $L_m$  is itself invariant under  $G$ , transformed vector  $A\phi_m$  is also belongs to  $L_m$ ; hence its components along the basis vectors  $\phi_{m+1}, \phi_{m+2}, \dots, \phi_n$  must be zero. i.e.

$$T_{lk}(A) = 0 \quad m+1 \leq k \leq n$$

Hence  $T(A)$  has the form as shown in eq. (1).

Let us consider  $A, B \in G$ . then  $AB = C$ .

In terms of the matrices of representation considered as  $T(A) \cdot T(B) = T(C)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} T(C) &= \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} D^{(1)}(A) & 0 \\ \hline X(A) & D^{(2)}(A) \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} D^{(1)}(B) & 0 \\ \hline X(B) & D^{(2)}(B) \end{array} \right] \\ &= \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} D^1(A) \cdot D^{(1)}(B) & 0 \\ \hline X(A) D^1(B) + D^2(A) X(B) & D^2(A) D^2(B) \end{array} \right] \\ &= \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} D^1(C) & 0 \\ \hline X(C) & D^2(C) \end{array} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$D^1(C) = D^1(A) D^{(1)}(B)$$

$$D^2(C) = D^2(A) D^{(2)}(B)$$

$$X(C) = X(A) D^1(B) + D^2(A) X(B)$$

Thus it is clear that  $D^{(1)} = \{ D^1(E), D^1(A), \dots \}$ .

(8)

$D^{(2)} = \{ D^{(2)}(E), D^{(2)}(A), \dots \}$ . also give two new representations of dimensions  $m$  and  $n-m$  respectively for the group  $G$ . Thus  $\{\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_m\}$  are the basis vectors for the representation  $D^{(1)}$  and remaining  $(n-m)$  basis vectors  $\{\phi_{m+1}, \dots, \phi_n\}$  for  $D^{(2)}$ .

Thus  $T$  is said to be a reducible representation. Thus the reducibility of a representation is connected with the existence of a proper invariant subspace of the full space.

Example 1: Prove that any representation  $T$  of finite group, whose matrices may be non-unitary, is equivalent to a representation by unitary matrices.

Proof: - We define a hermitian matrix to prove above theorem

$$H = \sum_{A \in G} T(A) T^\dagger(A) \quad (1)$$

The hermitian matrix can be fully diagonalized by a unitary transformation  $U$ , then

$$U^{-1} H U = H_d \quad (2)$$

Using eq.(1) & eq.(2), we get

(9)

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_d &= U^T \sum_{A \in G} T(A) T^+(A) U \\
 &= U^T \sum_{A \in G} T(A) U U^T T^+(A) U \\
 &= \left[ U^T \sum_{A \in G} T(A) U \right] \left[ U^T \sum_{A \in G} T^+(A) U \right] \\
 &= \sum_{A \in G} T^+(A) T^+(A) \quad \text{--- (3)}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $T^+(A) = U^T T(A) U$ , then  $k^{\text{th}}$  diagonal element of eqn (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 [H_d]_{kk} &\equiv d_k = \sum_{A \in G} \sum_j T'_{kj}(A) \cdot T'^+_{jk}(A) \\
 &= \sum_{A \in G} \sum_j T'_{kj}(A) T'^+_{kj}(A) \\
 &= \sum_{A \in G} \sum_j |T'_{kj}(A)|^2 \quad \text{--- (4)}
 \end{aligned}$$

The eqn (4) shows that  $d_k \geq 0$ . But it can be zero if and only if  $T'_{kj}(A) = 0 \forall j \text{ and } A \in G$ . Hence  $d_k > 0$ , must be positive.

It is also clear that  $H_d$  is a non-singular matrix, so that any power of matrix  $H_d$  by taking the corresponding power of all the diagonal elements of  $H_d$  i.e.

$$[ (H_d)^p ]_{kk} = |d_k|^p \quad \text{(5)}$$

where  $p$  is any real number, positive or negative.

(10)

The similarity transformation matrix which converts the non-unitary matrices  $T(A)$  into unitary matrices  $\Gamma(A)$  is obtained as

$$V = U H_d^{1/2} \quad \text{giving} \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(A) &= V^{-1} T(A) V \\ &= H_d^{-1/2} U^{-1} T(A) U H_d^{1/2} \\ &= H_d^{-1/2} T'(A) H_d^{1/2} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

To verify that the matrices  $\Gamma(A)$  are indeed unitary, so

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(A) \Gamma^\dagger(A) &= [H_d^{-1/2} T'(A) H_d^{1/2}] [H_d^{1/2} T'^\dagger(A) H_d^{-1/2}] \\ &= H_d^{-1/2} T'(A) H_d T'^\dagger(A) H_d^{-1/2} \\ &= H_d^{-1/2} T'(A) \sum_{B \in G} T'(B) T'^\dagger(B) T'^\dagger(A) H_d^{-1/2} \\ &= H_d^{-1/2} \sum_{B \in G} T'(AB) T'^\dagger(AB) H_d^{-1/2} \\ &= H_d^{-1/2} H_d H_d^{-1/2} \quad \text{from eq (3)} \\ &= E \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\Gamma(A)$  is a unitary matrix.

If the elements of the group  $G$  are unitary operators the similarity transformation of representation  $T$  to the representation  $\Gamma$  has a simple physical meaning that it implies the oblique system of coordinate axes are orthogonal to each other. The non-unitary nature of matrices are not orthogonal on the basis vectors  $L_n$ .

Irreducible representations:-

The process of reducible representation can be carried on until we can find no unitary transformation which reduces all the matrices of a representation further. After reducing the final form of the matrices of the representation  $\Gamma$  can be written as

$$\Gamma(A) = \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma^{(1)}(A) & & & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ & \Gamma^{(2)}(A) & & \\ & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & & & \Gamma^{(s)}(A) \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{etc}$$

with all the matrices of  $\Gamma$  having the same reduced structure. When such a complete reduction of a representation is achieved, the component representations  $\Gamma^{(1)}$ ,  $\Gamma^{(2)}$ , ...,  $\Gamma^{(s)}$  are called the irreducible representations of the group  $G$ , and the representation  $\Gamma$  is said to be fully reduced.

An irreducible representation may occur more than once in the reduction of a reducible  $\Gamma$ . The matrices of the representation  $\Gamma$  are just the direct sum of the matrices of the component irreducible representations and this may be denoted by

(12)

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma &= \alpha_1 \Gamma^{(1)} \oplus \alpha_2 \Gamma^{(2)} \oplus \dots \oplus \alpha_r \Gamma^{(r)} \\ &= \sum_i \alpha_i \Gamma^{(i)} \end{aligned}$$

The symbol is denoted as direct sum of  $\Gamma$ .

### Schur's Lemma 1:-

If  $\Gamma^{(i)}$  is an irreducible representation of a group  $G$  and if a matrix  $P$  commutes with all the matrices of  $\Gamma^{(i)}$ , then  $P$  must be constant, i.e.  $P = cE$ , where  $c$  is a scalar.

Proof: Let  $A$  be any element of the group  $G$ , then it is given that

$$\Gamma^{(i)}(A)P = P\Gamma^{(i)}(A) \quad \forall A \in G, \quad (1)$$

If the dimension of  $\Gamma^{(i)}$  is  $n$ ,  $P$  is square matrix of order  $n$  and taken to be unitary and each of the matrices  $\Gamma(A), \Gamma(B)$  etc, possess a complete set of  $n$  eigenvectors.  $P$  also has  $n$  linearly independent eigenvectors.  $x_j$  (say) with eigenvalues  $c_j$ , then we have

$$Px_j = c_j x_j \quad (2)$$

Multiplying both sides from the left by  $\Gamma^{(i)}(A)$  of eq (2), we get

$$\Gamma^{(i)}(A)Px_j = \Gamma^{(i)}(A)c_j x_j$$

$$P\Gamma^{(i)}(A)x_j = c_j \Gamma^{(i)}(A)x_j \quad - (3)$$

(13)

This shows that  $\Gamma^{(i)}(A)x_j, \forall A \in G$ , are eigenvectors of  $P$  with the same eigenvalue  $c_j$ . Let there be  $m$  such independent eigenvectors of  $P$  having the same eigenvalue  $c_j$ . But eigenvectors belonging to an eigenvalue generate a subspace  $L_m$ , which is invariant under  $G$ . Now if  $L_m$  is a proper subspace of  $L_n$ , where  $L_m$  is not the same as  $L_n$ , then  $L_n$  is also an invariant subspace. The representation  $\Gamma^{(i)}$  must be reducible, which is contrary to the hypothesis. Therefore  $L_m$  must be identical with  $L_n$ , making all the eigenvalues of  $P$  each equal to each other, say  $c_j \equiv c$ , giving  $P = cE$ .

This invariant subspace  $L_m$  may contain only the null vector. However, this case is excluded from consideration because if  $x$  is a null vector, it trivially satisfies the eigenvalue equation  $Px = cx$  with an arbitrary eigenvalue  $c$ .

Hence the theorem is proved

Schur's Lemma 2:-

If  $\Gamma^{(i)}$  and  $\Gamma^{(j)}$  are two irreducible representations of dimensions  $l_i$  and  $l_j$  respectively of a group  $G$  and if a matrix  $M$  (of order  $l_i \times l_j$ ) satisfies the relation

$$\Gamma^{(i)}(A)M = M\Gamma^{(j)}(A) \quad \forall A \in G \quad (1)$$

(14)

then either (a)  $M=0$ , the null matrix or  
 (b)  $\det M \neq 0$ , in which case  $\Gamma^{(i)}$  and  $\Gamma^{(j)}$   
 are equivalent representations.

Proof: - Two representations can be equivalent only if their dimensions are equal. Hence if  $l_i \neq l_j$  only case (a) applies.

Taking the hermitian conjugate of both sides of eq (1), we have

$$M^\dagger \Gamma^{(i)\dagger}(A) = \Gamma^{(j)\dagger}(A) M^\dagger \quad \forall A \in G$$

$$\text{or } M^\dagger \Gamma^{(i)}(A^{-1}) = \Gamma^{(j)}(A^{-1}) M^\dagger \quad \forall A \in G$$

Multiplying from the right by  $M$ , we get

$$M^\dagger M \Gamma^{(i)}(A^{-1}) = \Gamma^{(j)}(A^{-1}) M^\dagger M \quad \forall A \in G$$

From lemma 1,

$$M^\dagger M = cE \quad (2)$$

and  $l_i = l_j = n$

$$\text{then } \det M^\dagger M = c^n$$

if  $c \neq 0$ , then  $\det M \neq 0$ , so

$$\Gamma^{(j)}(A) = M^{-1} \Gamma^{(i)}(A) M \quad \forall A \in G$$

$\Gamma^{(i)}$  &  $\Gamma^{(j)}$  are equivalent representations

if  $c = 0$ , then  $(ij)^{\text{th}}$  element of eq (2)

we get

$$\sum_k M_{ik}^\dagger M_{ki} = 0$$

$$\text{or } \sum_k M_{ki}^\dagger M_{ki} = \sum_k |M_{ki}|^2 = 0$$

(15)

which is possible if only if  $M_{ki} = 0$ .  
for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , is arbitrary  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .  
hence  $M = 0$ .

In second case, when  $l_i \neq l_j$ , then  $l_i < l_j$   
we supplement the matrix  $M$  by writing  
 $(l_j - l_i)$  rows of zeros to give a new matrix  
 $M'$

$$M' = \begin{array}{c} \left[ \begin{array}{c} M \\ \hline 0 \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{l} \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{c} M \\ \hline 0 \end{array}} \right\} l_i \\ \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{c} M \\ \hline 0 \end{array}} \right\} l_j - l_i \end{array} \\ \leftarrow l_i \rightarrow \quad \leftarrow l_j - l_i \rightarrow \\ M'^t = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} M^t & 0 \end{array} \right] l_j \end{array}$$

If can be seen that  $M'^t M' = M^t M$   
and hence

$$\det(M'^t M') = \det(M^t M)$$

$$\det(M'^t) \det(M') = c^n$$

Now put  $l_j = n$ .

$$\text{Now } \det(M') = \det(M'^t) = 0,$$

$$c = 0$$

hence  $M^t M = 0$ . once again taking  
the  $(i, i)$  element of  $M^t M$ , we get  $M = 0$

Hence theorem is proved.

(16)

The orthogonality theorem:-

Let us construct a matrix  $M$  given by

$$M = \sum_{A \in G} \Gamma^{(i)}(A) \chi \Gamma^{(j)}(A^{-1}) \quad (1)$$

Multiplying by  $\Gamma^{(i)}(B)$

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^{(i)}(B) M &= \Gamma^{(i)}(B) \sum_{A \in G} \Gamma^{(i)}(A) \chi \Gamma^{(j)}(A^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_{C \in G} \Gamma^{(i)}(C) \chi \Gamma^{(j)}(C^{-1}) \Gamma^{(j)}(B) \\ &= M \Gamma^{(j)}(B) \quad \text{where } BA = C \end{aligned}$$

by second lemma of Schur;  $M = 0$

Taking  $(k, s)$  element of eq (1)

$$\sum_{A \in G} \sum_{pq} \Gamma^{(i)}_{kp}(A) \chi \Gamma^{(i)}_{pq}(A^{-1}) = 0$$

If  $\chi_{pq} = \delta_{pm} \delta_{qn}$ ,

$$\sum_{A \in G} \Gamma^{(i)}_{km}(A) \Gamma^{(j)}_{ns}(A^{-1}) = 0$$

$$\sum_{A \in G} \Gamma^{(i)}_{km}(A) \Gamma^{(j)*}_{km}(A) = 0$$

Next construct  $N = \sum_{A \in G} \Gamma^{(i)}(A) \chi \Gamma^{(i)}(A^{-1})$

$$\text{then } \Gamma^{(i)}(A) N = N \Gamma^{(i)}(A) \quad \forall A \in G$$